



Sulfonylurea herbicides – methodological challenges in setting aquatic limit values

Rosenkrantz, Rikke Tjørnhøj; Baun, Anders; Kusk, Kresten Ole

Publication date:
2010

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Rosenkrantz, R. T., Baun, A., & Kusk, K. O. (2010). *Sulfonylurea herbicides – methodological challenges in setting aquatic limit values*. Abstract from 20th SETAC Europe Annual Meeting, Sevilla, Spain.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Sulfonylurea herbicides – methodological challenges in setting aquatic limit values

Rikke T. Rosenkrantz, Anders Baun, Ole Kusk

Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej, Building 113, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

Lemna spp. have been shown to be up to 1000 times more sensitive to some sulfonylurea herbicides (SUs) than the green alga (e.g., *P. subcapitata*) which is commonly used as a representative organism for aquatic primary producers in environmental risk assessments. When the compounds are evaluated according to the EU Water Framework Directive, the resulting Water Quality Standards (WQSs) are below the analytical quantification limit, making it difficult to verify compliance with the limit values. However, several methodological concerns may be raised in relation to the very low effect concentrations reported for SUs in duckweed tests in the literature. Therefore, we decided to further investigate the effects of four SUs on *Lemna gibba* by studying how different test parameters affected the toxicity of the SUs. The SUs used were metsulfuron methyl, thifensulfuron methyl, flupyrsulfuron methyl and rimsulfuron. The following parameters were varied during testing: pH, exposure duration, temperature and light/dark cycle. Preliminary results show that a decrease in pH causes an increase in toxicity for all compounds. Exposure to a high concentration for 24 hours caused a reduction in growth rate, from which the plants recovered within 5 days. Difficulties in maintaining the concentration of the test substances were encountered due to a rapid hydrolysis of certain SUs in aqueous solution. These preliminary results raise the question whether the presently used standard *Lemna* tests are suitable for setting limit values for SUs or if more detailed information should be gained by taking methodological considerations into account.

Topic

I04 - Environmental risk assessment of plant protection products and biocides: new developments and progress

Keywords

Pesticides, Duckweed, Toxicity, Water Framework Directive